

Implementing *Seeking Safety* therapy for PTSD and substance abuse:

Clinical Guidelines

Lisa M. Najavits, PhD

Harvard Medical School/McLean Hospital

<Lnajavits@hms.harvard.edu>

Lisa M. Najavits, PhD is Associate Professor of Psychiatry (Psychology), Harvard Medical School, and Director of the Trauma Research Program in the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Treatment Center at McLean Hospital (Belmont, Massachusetts). She is the author of *Seeking Safety: A Treatment Manual for PTSD and Substance Abuse* (Guilford Press, New York; 2002) and *A Woman's Addiction Workbook* (New Harbinger Press; 2002), and over 70 professional publications. She is recipient of the 1997 Chaim Danieli Young Professional Award of the International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies and the 1998 Early Career Contribution Award of the Society for Psychotherapy Research. She has received four National Institutes of Health grants, including an Independent Scientist Award. She is a practicing therapist and psychotherapy supervisor.

**The Behavioral Health Recovery Management project
Is an initiative of Fayette Companies, Peoria, IL
Chestnut Health Systems, Bloomington, IL
and The University of Chicago Center for Psychiatric Rehabilitation**

The project is funded by the Illinois Department of Human Services'
Office of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse.

BACKGROUND

A large number of clients in substance abuseⁱ treatment have current posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), estimated at 33%-59% in women and 12%-34% in men [1]. The majority have a history of trauma and often multiple traumas, such as child abuse, rape, criminal assault, serious accidents, natural disasters, and combat. Yet traditional treatment has not attended to these issues. Most do not receive assessment or treatment of their PTSD [2, 3]. Messages in substance abuse treatment such as “Don’t work on the PTSD until you’ve been clean for a year” or “Substance abuse is the only problem you need to focus on”, while well-intentioned, can be perceived as invalidating of clients’ trauma history [4]. The 12-step approach of Alcoholics Anonymous that dominated 20th century treatment of substance abuse has been very helpful for many [5]. However, clients and clinicians report that when a client has PTSD, getting clean and sober is a bigger hurdle and such traditional methods may not work as well [6, 7]. For example, the tendency for PTSD memories and feelings to worsen as clients get clean is a common phenomenon [8, 9]. Similarly, in the mental health field, messages such as “We can’t treat you if you have a substance abuse problem”, or more commonly, neglecting to even ask about addiction, have left clients without adequate attention to their substance abuse. Sadly, clients with the dual diagnosis of PTSD and substance abuse have worse outcomes than those with either disorder alone [10, 11], and may internalize a sense of failure when they do not succeed in standard treatment programs that work for others. Feeling “crazy”, “lazy”, or “bad” is common-- a sense of demoralization, self-blame, and a feeling of something being terribly wrong with them. [4]. Families and treaters at times may convey such messages as well: “That event happened 20 years ago-- move on already” or “You’re just trying to avoid your addiction problem by talking about the past”.

PTSD offers a framework that many clients and clinicians find helpful. It is like a new lens that honors what clients have lived through, encourages empathy and self-understanding, and may increase their motivation to get clean and sober. It can be reassuring to realize that

they may have abused substances as an attempt to cope with overwhelming emotional pain, and to recognize how common this pattern is. This understanding can move them beyond the revolving door of just more treatment into different treatment. Instead of cycling back through another round of standard treatment, they go down a different path. As one client said, "I was relieved to find I had something with a name. I thought it was just me-- I'm crazy. But I can deal with this now...Now I can put down the cocaine and work on what's behind it" [12, pg. 81].

Unlike some diagnostic labels, "PTSD" tends to be well-received. Indeed, clients express a clear preference to include treatment of PTSD in substance treatment [13, 14]. Most of all, initial evidence suggests that working on PTSD and substance abuse in an integrated fashion results in positive outcomes in both of these disorders, as well as related areas. Contrary to older views, treating both PTSD and substance abuse at the same time appears to help clients with their substance abuse recovery, rather than derailing them from attaining abstinence [15-20].

However, one of the major misconceptions at this point is that PTSD treatment necessarily means "digging up" trauma memories-- telling the story of what happened and processing the past. For someone with active substance abuse, there remain significant questions about when, how, and whether to do such trauma processing work. Such treatments go by many names, including exposure therapy [21], eye movement desensitization and reprocessing [22], mourning [23], the counting method [24], and cognitive processing therapy [25]. Such treatments are an important clinical tool and have shown efficacy for PTSD alone [26]. However, in the context of active substance abuse, they may be problematic. The traumatic memories may be too emotionally upsetting when clients do not yet have adequate coping skills to control their impulses. Concerns repeatedly expressed in the literature are that clients may use substances more, may relapse (if already abstinent), or may increase dangerous behaviors such as self-harm or suicidality [6, 7, 27]. Opening up the "Pandora's box" of trauma memories may destabilize clients when they are most in need of stabilization.

Clients themselves may not feel ready for trauma processing early in substance abuse recovery. In a study of exposure therapy by Brady et al. [15], for example, 61.5% did not complete the minimum dose of treatment. (Interestingly, however, the 38.5% who were able to engage improved in both substance abuse and PTSD symptoms, although it should be noted that the study ruled out clients with suicidal ideation, thus likely selecting a less severe sample.)

An alternative to trauma-processing models that delve into the past are cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) coping skills models that focus on the present. Such models provide psychoeducation, teach clients how to decrease symptoms when they flare up, and help them gain control over current life problems. The idea that early recovery treatment should focus on stabilization and safety has been consistently recommended separately in both the PTSD [23] and substance abuse literatures [28]. It is noteworthy that studies that have directly compared trauma-processing models with CBT models show them to be equally helpful [29], although such comparison studies have not yet been conducted in substance abuse samples. In short, it is not yet clear which clients may benefit from either or both approaches. The field is young in its understanding of these complex issues.

Seeking Safety fits into the category of present-focused, coping skills approaches. It was developed as an integrated treatment for PTSD/substance abuse that can be used in early recovery from both disorders. It does not require the client to delve into the past, although it can be combined with trauma-processing methods. (Indeed, one study, under “Empirical Evidence” below, offers positive outcomes for this combination.) Below, the treatment is described, its empirical results reviewed, and implementation suggestions are offered.

KEY FEATURES OF *SEEKING SAFETY*

Seeking Safety is described in a treatment manual that offers both a therapist guide and extensive client handouts [4]. A recent book chapter also provides a summary [30]. The *Seeking Safety* website, www.seekingsafety.org, provides sample topics, articles, and other materials that can be directly downloaded. The treatment was first described in a 1996 paper

[31], although the treatment evolved considerably since then: from an initial focus on women to both genders, from group modality to individual as well, and from outpatient to a variety of settings.

Key features of *Seeking Safety* are as follows:

Core principles. The treatment is based on five central ideas:

(1) Safety as the priority of treatment. The title “Seeking Safety” expresses its basic philosophy: when a person has both substance abuse and PTSD, the most urgent clinical need is to establish safety. Safety is a broad term that includes discontinuing substance use, reducing suicidality and self-harm behavior, ending dangerous relationships (such as domestic abuse and drug using friends), and gaining control over symptoms of both disorders. In *Seeking Safety*, safety is taught through *Safe Coping Skills*, a *Safe Coping Sheet*, a *Safety Plan*, and a report of safe and unsafe behaviors at each session, for example.

(2) Integrated treatment of PTSD and substance abuse. *Seeking Safety* is designed to treat PTSD and substance abuse at the same time. An integrated model is recommended as more likely to succeed, more sensitive to patient needs, and more cost-effective than sequential treatment of one disorder then the other [32, 33]. In *Seeking Safety*, integrated treatment includes helping patients understand the two disorders and why they so frequently co-occur, teaching safe coping skills that apply to both, exploring the relationship between the two disorders in the present (e.g., using a substance to cope with trauma flashbacks), and teaching that healing from each disorder requires attention to both disorders.

(3) A focus on ideals. Both PTSD and substance abuse individually, and especially in combination, lead to demoralization and loss of ideals. Thus, *Seeking Safety* evokes humanistic themes to restore patients' feeling of potential for a better future. The title of each session is framed as a positive ideal, one that is the opposite of the pathological characteristic of PTSD and substance abuse. For example, the topic *Honesty* combats denial, lying, and the “false self”. *Commitment* is the opposite of irresponsibility and impulsivity. The

language throughout emphasizes values such as “respect”, “care”, “integration”, and “healing”. By aiming for what can be, the hope is to instill motivation for the hard work of recovery from both disorders.

(4) Four content areas; cognitive, behavioral, interpersonal, and case management. While originally designed as a cognitive-behavioral intervention (a theoretical orientation that appears well-suited for early recovery stabilization), the treatment was expanded to include interpersonal and case management domains. The interpersonal domain is an area of special need because PTSD most commonly arises from traumas inflicted by others, for both women and men [34]. Interpersonal issues include how to trust others, confusion over what can be expected in relationships, and the need to avoid reenactments of abusive power. Similarly, substance abuse is often perpetuated in relationships. The case management component offers help obtaining referrals for problems such as housing, job counseling, HIV testing, domestic violence, and child care.

(5) Attention to clinician processes. With this dual diagnosis population, who are often considered “difficult”, it is a challenge to provide effective therapy. Clinician processes emphasized in *Seeking Safety* include compassion for patients’ experience, using coping skills in one’s own life, giving the patient control whenever possible (to counteract the loss of control inherent in both trauma and substance abuse), meeting the patient more than halfway (e.g., doing anything possible within professional bounds to help the patient get better), and obtaining feedback about how patients view the treatment. A balance of praise and accountability are also suggested. The opposite of such positive therapist processes are negative processes such as harsh confrontation, sadism, difficulty holding patients accountable due to misguided sympathy, becoming “victim” to the patient’s abusiveness, and power struggles.

Twenty-five topics. *Seeking Safety* offers 25 treatment topics, each with a clinician guide and client handouts. The seven interpersonal topics are: *Asking for Help, Honesty,*

Setting Boundaries in Relationships, Healthy Relationships, Community Resources, Healing from Anger, and Getting Others to Support Your Recovery. The seven behavioral topics are: *Detaching from Emotional Pain: Grounding, Taking Good Care of Yourself, Red and Green Flags, Commitment, Coping with Triggers, Respecting Your Time, and Self-Nurturing.* The seven cognitive topics are: *PTSD: Taking Back Your Power, Compassion, When Substances Control You, Recovery Thinking, Integrating the Split Self, Creating Meaning, and Discovery.* In addition, four combination topics are: *Introduction to Treatment / Case Management, Safety, The Life Choices Game (Review), and Termination.*

A balance of structure and flexibility. *Seeking Safety* has a structured session format, to make the best use of time available. The structure includes a check-in, quotation (to emotionally engage clients), handouts, and check-out. The goal is to counteract the impulsivity and chaotic nature of both disorders with a process that emphasizes planning, pacing, and predictability. However, the treatment was also designed to be extremely flexible to adapt to clients' needs, clinicians' preferences, and a variety of treatment contexts. Thus, diverse topics and handouts are offered, from which clients and/or clinicians select the order of topics as well as which handouts to read. Each topic is independent of the others, to allow for clients to enter or leave treatment at different times, and for shorter or longer time frames. See the section "Implementation" below for a description of how the treatment has been conducted in different formats.

A treatment for women and men. The treatment was originally designed for women [31], but later expanded to men. It two existing studies on men, both evidenced high client satisfaction [20, 38]. Feedback in clinical programs also shows high acceptability in both genders, as well as in the clinicians treating them [30] [personal communications: V. Brown, C. Smith, B. Burchfield, J. Hallisey, L. Holdcraft, J. Harvey, J. Cook, C. Smith, T. North, R. Walser]. The manual has examples using both women and men, and a variety of traumas (e.g., child abuse, crime victimization, combat).

Other features. Other features, described in the *Seeking Safety* treatment manual, include a focus on simple, human language and themes (accessible language that avoids jargon); treatment methods that are based on educational strategies to increase learning; a focus on potential; emphasis on practical solutions; and an urgent approach to time. The manual also describes how the treatment differs from existing treatments, such as dialectical behavior therapy, relapse prevention, and other comparable therapies.

EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE

Seeking Safety was the first empirically-studied treatment for the dual diagnosis of PTSD/substance abuse and, at this point, has been studied more than any other approach [30]. Five outcome studies have been completed thus far, all evidencing positive results: one on outpatient women using group modality [17]; one on women in prison, in group modality [18]; one on low-income mostly minority women, in individual format [19], one on outpatient men traumatized as children, in individual format [20], and finally one on women in a community mental health setting, in group format [35]. In all the studies, improvements were found in substance abuse as well as a variety of other areas (e.g., general psychiatric symptoms, suicidal thoughts and plans, problem-solving ability, sense of meaning, social adjustment, depression). Treatment attendance and satisfaction were high as well. All four studies that included assessment of PTSD and/or trauma-related symptoms found improvements in those areas (while one study, Holdcraft & Comtois, did not measure this domain as its sample was dual diagnosis clients rather than PTSD specifically.) Three of the studies had follow-up periods after the treatment ended and showed maintenance of some key gains [17-19]. In all of the studies, the clients were severe, i.e., they had the disorders chronically for many years, in most cases were substance-dependent. The four studies that addressed PTSD showed most having childhood and multiple traumatization, and typically had additional co-occurring Axis I and Axis II disorders. Four of the studies were pilots, while one was a randomized controlled trial (the Hien et al. study). In the latter, *Seeking Safety* performed as well as relapse prevention treatment

(considered a “gold standard treatment” in the substance abuse field), and both *Seeking Safety* and relapse prevention significantly outperformed treatment-as-usual in the community (standard substance abuse and mental health treatment).

Two of the studies combined *Seeking Safety* with other approaches. The study of men combined *Seeking Safety* with “exposure-therapy-revised”, an adaptation of exposure therapy for PTSD specifically for substance abuse clients [20]. Clients were able to decide how many *Seeking Safety* and how many exposure sessions they wanted, on a session-by-session basis; they chose an average of 21 SS sessions and 9 exposure sessions (highlighting the importance, perhaps, of integrating the two types of models described in the aforementioned section “Background”). The study of women in a community mental health center [35] combined *Seeking Safety* with Linehan’s *Dialectical Behavior Therapy*.

Other studies of *Seeking Safety* are currently underway, with larger samples and control or comparison conditions. For a detailed description of the completed studies, see the website www.seekingsafety.org (section “Empirical Studies”).

IMPLEMENTATION

Seeking Safety has been implemented in a variety of clinical programs in addition to the research studies described above. The following are some of the most frequently asked questions about how to conduct the treatment in diverse settings. An appealing aspect of the treatment, according to feedback from a variety of clinicians and administrators, is its flexibility. It was designed to be adaptable to a wide variety of contexts, to meet the clinical reality that programs differ in their needs and structure. However, more research is needed to actually study these issues. At this point, the suggestions below are based on a blend of experience from the research studies and clinical implementation by programs. Note that additional, extensive implementation guidelines are described in detail in published materials, such as the book on *Seeking Safety* [4] and an article on training therapists in the treatment [36] that can be downloaded from the website www.seekingsafety.org.

How should clients be selected for the treatment? While the research studies were typically conducted on clients formally and currently diagnosed with both disorders, in clinical practice the range of clients has been much broader. It has included clients with a history of trauma and/or substance abuse, clients with serious and persistent mental illness, clients with just one or the other disorder, and clients with other disorders (e.g., eating disorders). An important consideration is clients' own wish to participate in the treatment. Given the powerlessness inherent in both PTSD and substance abuse, empowerment is key. It appears simplest and best to describe the treatment and then give clients a choice in whether to join. Allowing them to explore the treatment by attending just a few sessions, without obligation to continue, is another helpful method. In general, the idea is to be as inclusive as possible for entry into the treatment, with a plan to monitor clients over time and evaluate whether it appears helpful to them. Thus far, there do not appear to be any particular client readiness characteristics or contraindications that are easily identified. As the treatment is focused on safety, coping, and stabilization, it is not a treatment that is likely to destabilize clients and thus has been implemented quite broadly. Similarly, clients do not need to attain stabilization before beginning; it was designed to be used from the start of treatment. If a client has addictive or impulsive behavior in addition to substance abuse (e.g., cutting, bingeing, gambling), clients are guided to apply the "safe coping skills" taught in *Seeking Safety* to those behaviors as well (while also being referred out, as part of the case management component, to specialized treatments for those problems).

How are clinicians selected to conduct the treatment? The most essential characteristics are (1) the clinician's wish to work with this client population, and (2) the willingness to use a manual-based treatment. Originally, various criteria were sought, such as a mental health degree (e.g., PhD or LICSW) and particular types of training (e.g., CBT, substance abuse). But what became clear over time was that far more important than any of these professional credentials were the more subtle, subjective criteria mentioned above.

Clinicians who genuinely enjoy working with these clients-- often perceiving their work as a “mission” or calling-- bring to the work a level of commitment that no degree per se can offer. Similarly, clinicians who are open to the value of a treatment manual, viewing it as a resource that can help improve the quality of the work, are able to make the best use of the material. Because there are no strict criteria for therapist selection (i.e., degree, training), the treatment may be widely applicable to a range of settings and clinicians. Many substance abuse programs, for example, do not have staff with advanced degrees or formal CBT training. Also, because the treatment focuses on stabilization rather than trauma processing, it does not appear to exceed the training, licensure, or ethical limits of substance abuse counselors. However, they are guided to refer out for specialized professional mental health treatment if clients exceed the parameters of their work (e.g., dissociative identity disorder).

Nonetheless, several suggestions are offered for selecting a *Seeking Safety* clinician. These are described in a protocol that can be downloaded from the website www.seekingsafety.org (see the section “Clinician Selection”). Briefly, it involves a “try-out” to determine whether the potential clinician is a good match for the treatment. The potential clinician actually conducts one or two audiotaped sessions using the manual with a real client, with the sessions rated by the client as well as evaluated on the *Seeking Safety* adherence scale. Once hired, methods for training the clinician are described in an article [36], as well as in the *Seeking Safety* manual. In addition, an article that may be relevant is a recent study exploring clinicians’ views on treating clients with this dual diagnosis [37]. All articles can be downloaded from the website (see the section “Articles to Download”).

How can Seeking Safety be applied to different treatment formats? As mentioned above, the treatment was designed to be highly adaptable to different contexts. In research, it has shown positive results in both individual and group formats; in both women and men; in sessions of one hour and 1.5 hours; in outpatient, inpatient, and prison settings; in 50 and 90-minute sessions; in open and closed groups; and with singly and co-led groups [17-20, 35, 38].

The time frame in research thus far has typically been 25 sessions over three months (twice-weekly treatment), but clinically has also been implemented once weekly, three times per week, or a blend (e.g., twice weekly then once weekly). The length of treatment also varies. For example, a client on an inpatient unit may have time for only three sessions, and thus just one or a few topics might be covered. In a long-term residential program, each topic might be covered over two or three sessions, for a much longer time frame. Some programs have created two blocks of 12 sessions each; while others have allowed clients to cycle through the entire treatment multiple times (personal communications: V. Brown, S. Cadiz, N. Finkelstein, 9/24/02). As all of the *Seeking Safety* topics can be conducted in any order and independently of each other, clients do not have to be available for the full treatment, but can use whatever time is available to them. They can also join or leave at any point. However, it is recommended that topic *1a Introduction / Case Management* be conducted as the first session to orient the client to the treatment. For group treatment, if a client misses a session, he/she is offered the handouts from the session, if desired, as a way to keep up with the group. Suggestions for how to select the order of topics is provided in the manual [4]. Clients are not discontinued from the treatment unless they are a direct threat to other clients or staff (e.g., assaultive, selling drugs). Rather, an “open door” policy prevails: they are welcomed back at any time, a position advocated in early recovery [23]. Similarly, there are no particular coping skills or topics clients must master, but rather they are offered a wide variety from which to choose what works for them. The goal is to “go where the action is”-- to use the materials in a way that adapts to the client, the clinician, and the program. With a complex dual diagnosis population such as this, a stance of flexibility appears key [39].

How can the Seeking Safety skills be made most useful for clients? A natural tendency is for clients to discuss a skill at length. For example, the topic *Honesty* may generate insights and extensive discussion. This can be helpful, but to take the work to a higher level, it is suggested that *rehearsal* of the skill also occur. Thus, the clinician would role-play scenarios

with clients that involve honesty and would apply honesty to specific situations that arose recently (e.g., “When you last felt like using cocaine, were you honest with anyone about that? Whom did you tell?”). For every skill, several ways of rehearsing are offered in the manual to promote generalization, i.e., actually using the skills in real life when they are most needed. Related to this is the importance of asking the client, “How did you try to cope?”. By guiding the client to repeatedly notice how he/she did or did not cope well with recent situations, improved awareness and skills can develop. Also, relating the skills back to both target disorders, PTSD and substance abuse, is helpful. Thus, the clinician might ask, “Why do you think it might be hard to be honest if you have a history of PTSD and substance abuse?” Helping clients notice the link between the disorders and their current skills deficits can aid recovery. Finally, exploring childhood messages can deepen the work. For example, “What messages did you learn, growing up, about honesty?” Note that this does not ask the client to reveal details of trauma, but it does ask him/her to see how childhood and family messages may have strongly impacted his/her development.

Are there typical difficulties clinicians tend to have? One of the most common is talking too much or lecturing clients. In keeping with the goal of deep-level learning, an “80/20 rule” is suggested; that is, clients talk 80% of the session, and clinicians 20%. This preserves the session feeling like therapy rather than school, and promotes success by having the clinician listen closely enough to clients to help solve their problems in a realistic way. When the clinician does not listen sufficiently, interventions tend to be less effective and more simplistic. Thus too, clinicians are encouraged to use the treatment’s coping skills in their own lives, which gives a personal understanding of how the skills may or may not work.

A second major difficulty is not following the structure of the treatment. While *Seeking Safety* is highly adaptable and flexible, it nonetheless asks clinicians to follow a structured session format. This format was based on extensive empirical testing over many years in different populations. Even the wording of check-in questions, for example, was tested in

different versions to identify ones that worked best. For example, the question “What is the main point of the quotation?”, focuses the client on understanding the idea in the quotation. Clinicians often change the wording to “What does the quote mean to you?” While this seems like a minor change, in fact it typically leads clients to free-associate about the quotation, and leads to a much longer and less effective use of it. Similarly, “What good coping have you done since the last session?”, was tried as “What *safe* coping...” and “What *positive* coping...”, neither of which worked as well. Thus, clinicians are asked to preserve the structure as planned, and to memorize the check-in and check-out questions. Clients do not have problems with the structure, and they adapt to it very easily with minimal instruction. However, clinicians, particularly those who are not used to using a treatment manual, seem to need more time and effort to adjust to it.

Finally, a third issue is staying “real”. Because the treatment emphasizes validation, support, and empathy for clients’ difficult trauma histories, clinicians sometimes over-do these, at the expense of growth, constructive feedback, awareness of anger, and limit-setting. For example, when a client does a role-play, clinicians will sometimes offer just praise, rather than feedback on both strengths and weaknesses. Yet growth-oriented feedback is essential for clients to improve. Another example is owning anger-- both seeing it in clients and in oneself. In the topic *Healing from Anger* it is suggested that clients’ anger is inevitable in recovery from PTSD and substance abuse, and that it is a common countertransference reaction in clinicians as well. Yet in an attempt to be sympathetic, clinicians sometimes ignore or repress anger to a degree that is unhelpful. For example, a client may continually reject every suggestion offered to him/her, yet the clinician keeps offering additional ideas to placate the client, rather than processing the dynamic of anger that typically underlies this “help-rejecting” client stance.

Are there any particular diversity issues (e.g., gender, ethnicity, race)? Before *Seeking Safety* was published, it was conducted with a variety of clients, including two studies on largely minority samples [18, 19], both women and men, and clients with a variety of trauma histories

(e.g., child abuse, combat, and crime victimization). The language and examples in the book were written to reflect these diverse experiences, and to include mention of racism, poverty, sexism, and both male and female names and examples. Moreover, the treatment has obtained high client satisfaction ratings in all of these subgroups. However, it is suggested that clinicians who work with a particular subgroup may benefit from adding in even more to make the treatment accessible and engaging to them by using examples from their lives, incorporating cultural elements relevant to them, and discussing their particular pressures and burdens. In the treatment of men, for example, a discussion of how trauma violates the traditional masculine role (issues of “weakness” and vulnerability) may be important. In the treatment of Latinas, verbalizing the material in Spanish and providing cultural context (e.g., acculturation stress, and concepts such as “familismo” and “marianismo”) may be helpful. In the treatment of gay, lesbian, bisexual or transgendered clients, discussion of homophobia may be central. If clients are illiterate, summarizing the material for them briefly, or having literate clients read small sections out loud in group are methods that have been used.

Any specific suggestions for conducting Seeking Safety as a group treatment? Several issues are notable. First, the naming of the group can make a difference. One program initially called their group “Trauma Group” and few clients wanted to attend. When they renamed it “Seeking Safety Group” the attendance improved considerably. If the group title includes the term “trauma” or “PTSD”, clients may fear that they will be asked to describe their traumas or will have to listen to others do so, and may not feel ready for that. If it has a more upbeat title, they feel more reassured. Thus, it can be called “Safety Group”, “Seeking Safety”, or “Coping Skills” for example. Second, the number of group members should be planned carefully. Keeping in mind that the check-in allows up to five minutes per client (although it often goes quicker) and that the average group is 1 hour in length, having five patients is workable as it allows up to 25 minutes of check-in. For longer sessions, such as 1.5 hours, more clients can be added. However, adaptability is important here too. One residential program, for example,

decided to conduct very large groups with 30 clients and to make the treatment psychoeducation rather than therapy (thus leaving out the check-in and check-out), as clients already had other small groups where they received more personal attention. Third, because *Seeking Safety* focuses on trauma, the tone of the group may be different than typical substance abuse groups. In the latter, confrontation may be accepted (e.g., a client may tell another that he is “in denial”, “not facing his addiction”, or “being too self-pitying”). In *Seeking Safety* such statements would be seen as detracting from the emotional safety of the group. The clinician is asked to train clients instead to focus on their own recovery work, and to interact primarily in supportive and problem-solving ways rather than confrontational ways. Fourth, single-gender groups are the most common way of implementing the treatment, as much trauma was sexual or physical in nature and clients are likely to feel more comfortable with others of the same gender. However, *Seeking Safety* has been implemented with mixed-gender groups as well, but only when none of the clients were perpetrators (which could be too triggering), and only when clients agreed to join a mixed-gender group. The clinicians too have typically been the same gender as the client, although it can be noted that some trauma programs believe it’s important to create positive therapy experiences with the opposite gender as well (Chu, personal communication). Finally, as noted earlier, the treatment has shown positive outcomes both in open and closed group formats, and both singly-led and co-led.

Can staff who are not actually conducting the treatment assist in any way? This is an important topic and relates to the goal of trying to create “trauma-informed” services [40] or “dual diagnosis enhanced” services [41, 42]. The idea is that even for staff who are not actually conducting formal therapy, services can be enhanced by educating all staff on trauma and PTSD. *Seeking Safety* can be used in two specific ways. First, some of the material can be used to educate personnel about trauma and PTSD so that their interactions with clients can be more empathic. Of most relevance are Chapter 1 of the manual, which describes the rationale for integrated treatment of PTSD/substance abuse, the topic *PTSD: Taking Back Your Power*,

which identifies the signs of PTSD; and the topic *When Substances Control You*, which explores the link between trauma and substance abuse. Second, a few of the key skills can be taught to all staff who attend to clients while not necessarily treating them, such as night staff of an inpatient or residential program, and mental health aides. Some suggested key skills are *Detaching From Emotional Pain (Grounding)*, *Asking For Help*, and *Coping with Triggers*. Role-playing how to respond to clients who are agitated or dissociating may be important, as are modifying the treatment setting, within reason, to adapt to trauma survivors. For example, as one survivor writes, “Realize that the woman who keeps her light on all night is not treatment resistant because she will not turn out her light. She is terrified of the dark because that is when her father used to come into her room and rape her [43].” Thus letting her keep lights on may be a reasonable adaptation that is respectful of her.

Other implementation ideas. Additional implementation suggestions are offered elsewhere. These include: (1) how to integrate trauma processing therapy with *Seeking Safety* (see chapter 2 of the manual, and the paper [20]); (2) emergency procedures (see chapter 2 of the manual); (3) process issues (see chapter 2 of the manual and the article on training [36]); and (4) a detailed description of the *Seeking Safety* format (see chapter 2 of the manual).

RESOURCES ON *SEEKING SAFETY*

(1) Book

Seeking Safety: A Treatment Manual for PTSD and Substance Abuse (2002, Guilford Press) by Lisa M. Najavits. The book can be ordered from the website www.seekingsafety.org (see the section "How to Obtain the Book"). It is 400 pages, and contains a clinician guide and numerous client handouts for the 25 topics of the treatment, as well as background about PTSD and substance abuse.

In addition, another new book is also available: a self-help workbook guide for women with substance abuse and other addictions. *A Woman's Addiction Workbook* (Najavits, L.M., 2002, New Harbinger Press). It is not related to *Seeking Safety*, but includes material on dual diagnosis, and trauma and violence. It is 210 pages, and can be ordered from the website www.seekingsafety.org or from the publisher.

(2) Web

The website for the treatment is www.seekingsafety.org. It was designed to be as helpful as possible in providing information about the *Seeking Safety* treatment, and contains the following sections:

- About the treatment
- Empirical results
- How to obtain the book (includes client handouts and therapist guide)
- Information on *A Woman's Addiction Workbook*
- Reviews of the book
- Workshop materials
- Sample *Seeking Safety* topics
- Adapting / Reprinting from *Seeking Safety*
- Articles to download (*see below for the list of articles you can download*)
- Contact information
- Grant materials
- How to obtain training in *Seeking Safety*
- Your feedback (*how to send your feedback and questions on Seeking Safety*)

(3) Video

A set of videos on treatment of PTSD/substance abuse is available. Two of the videos are for clinicians and one is designed for clients. They offer perspectives on treatment by several leaders in the field of PTSD/substance abuse treatment (Francis Abueg, Pamela Brown,

Bonnie Dansky, Terence Keane, John Lovern, and myself), as well as several people in recovery. They were produced in 1998.

Videos for professionals:

- 1) Trauma and substance abuse: Therapeutic approaches.
- 2) Trauma and substance abuse: Special treatment issues.

Video for clients:

Numbing the Pain: Substance abuse and psychological trauma.

All videos can be obtained from Cavalcade Productions by phone (800-345-5530), email (cavpro@nccn.net), or by web (www.nccn.net/~cavpro)

Currently under development are videotapes for training in *Seeking Safety* specifically (e.g., model sessions, examples of clinical scenarios in the treatment). However, these are not yet available at this time.

(4) Training

On-site training is available by Lisa Najavits and/or one of her associates. Also, if you are interested in obtaining feedback on an audiotape of a *Seeking Safety* therapy session (with adherence ratings), please contact info@seekingsafety.org; a fee is required for this service.

(5) Articles

Various articles on *Seeking Safety*, the dual diagnosis of PTSD and substance abuse, and related work, are available. A list is provided here; all can be downloaded from the website www.seekingsafety.org (see the section "Articles to Download").

Seeking Safety

- Najavits LM (2002). Seeking Safety: A new psychotherapy for posttraumatic stress disorder and substance use disorder. In: *Trauma and Substance Abuse: Causes, Consequences, and Treatment of Comorbid Disorders* (P. Ouimette & P. Brown, Eds.). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association Press.
- Najavits, L.M., Weiss, R.D., Shaw, S.R. (1998) "Seeking Safety": Outcome of a new cognitive-behavioral psychotherapy for women with posttraumatic stress disorder and substance dependence. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*. 11:437-456.
- Najavits, L.M. (2000) Training clinicians to conduct the Seeking Safety treatment for PTSD and substance abuse. *Alcoholism Treatment Quarterly*. 18:83-98.

Dual Diagnosis of PTSD/Substance Abuse

- Najavits, L.M., Weiss, R.D., Shaw, S.R. (1997) The link between substance abuse and posttraumatic stress disorder in women: A research review. *American Journal on Addictions*. 6: 273-283.
- Najavits, L.M., Gastfriend, D.R., Barber, J.P., Reif, S., Muenz, L., Blaine, J., Frank, A., Crits-Christoph, P., Thase, M., Weiss, R.D. (1998) Cocaine dependence with and without PTSD among subjects in the National Institute on Drug Abuse Collaborative Cocaine Treatment Study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 155: 214-219.
- Najavits, L.M., Weiss, R.D., Shaw, S.R. (1999) A clinical profile of women with PTSD and substance dependence. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*. 13:98-104.

- Najavits, L.M., Weiss, R.D., Reif, S., Gastfriend, D.R., Siqueland, L., Barber, J.P., Butler, S., Thase, M., Blaine, J., (1998) The Addiction Severity Index as a screen for trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*. 59: 56-62.
- Najavits, L.M. (2002). Clinicians' views on treating posttraumatic stress disorder and substance use disorder. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 22:79-85.

Overview of Research Program

- Najavits, L.M. (2001). Early Career Award paper: Helping "difficult" clients. *Psychotherapy Research*. 11:131-152.

Studying Therapists

- Najavits, L.M. (1997) Psychotherapists' implicit theories of psychotherapy. *Journal of Psychotherapy Integration*. 7:1-16.
- Najavits, L.M., Crits-Christoph, P., Dierberger, A. (2000) Clinicians' impact on the quality of substance use disorder treatment. *Substance Use & Misuse*; 35: 2161-2190.
- Najavits, L.M., Weiss, R.D. (1994) Variations in therapist effectiveness in the treatment of clients with substance use disorders: An empirical review. *Addiction*. 89: 679-688.
- Najavits, L.M., Weiss, R.D., Nelson, S.R., Dierberger, A. (2000) Psychotherapists' views of treatment manuals. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 31:404-408.
- Najavits, L.M. (2000). Researching therapist emotions and countertransference. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*. 7:322-328.

(6) Relevant assessment tools

(a) PTSD

A key website for assessment tools, as well as the world's largest literature base on PTSD, is www.ncptsd.org. It is the website of the National Centers for PTSD of the Veterans Affairs Department.

Books on assessment of PTSD include: [44]; and [45].

(b) Substance abuse

A key website for assessment tools, as well as treatment manuals and treatment guidelines, is available from the National Clearinghouse for Alcohol and Drug Information www.health.org.

References

1. Najavits, L.M., R.D. Weiss, and S.R. Shaw, *The link between substance abuse and posttraumatic stress disorder in women: A research review*. American Journal on Addictions, 1997. **6**: p. 273-283.
2. Brown, P.J., R.L. Stout, and J. Gannon Rowley, *Substance use disorder-PTSD comorbidity: Patients' perceptions of symptom interplay and treatment issues*. Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, 1998. **15**: p. 445-448.
3. Danksy, B.S., et al., *Posttraumatic stress disorder and substance abuse: Use of research in a clinical setting*. Journal of Traumatic Stress, 1997. **10**: p. 141-147.
4. Najavits, L.M., *Seeking Safety: A Treatment Manual for PTSD and Substance Abuse*. 2002, New York, NY: Guilford.
5. Fletcher, A., *Sober for Good: New Solutions for Drinking Problems-- Advice from Those Who Have Succeeded*. 2001, Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.
6. Ruzek, J.I., M.A. Polusny, and F.R. Abueg, *Assessment and treatment of concurrent posttraumatic stress disorder and substance abuse*, in *Cognitive-Behavioral Therapies for Trauma*, V.M. Follette, J.I. Ruzek, and F.R. Abueg, Editors. 1998, Guilford: New York. p. 226-255.
7. Solomon, S.D., E.T. Gerrity, and A.M. Muff, *Efficacy of treatments for posttraumatic stress disorder*. Journal of the American Medical Association, 1992. **268**: p. 633-638.
8. Brady, K.T., et al., *Comorbid substance abuse and posttraumatic stress disorder: Characteristics of women in treatment*. American Journal on Addictions, 1994. **3**: p. 160-164.
9. Kofoed, L., M.J. Friedman, and R. Peck, *Alcoholism and drug abuse in inpatients with PTSD*. Psychiatric Quarterly, 1993. **64**: p. 151-171.
10. Ouimette, P.C., P.J. Brown, and L.M. Najavits, *Course and treatment of patients with both substance use and posttraumatic stress disorders*. Psychology of Addictive Behaviors, 1998. **23**: p. 785-795.
11. Ouimette, P.C., J.W. Finney, and R.H. Moos, *Two-year posttreatment functioning and coping of substance abuse patients with posttraumatic stress disorder*. Psychology of Addictive Behaviors, 1999. **13**: p. 105-114.
12. Najavits, L.M., *A Woman's Addiction Workbook*. 2002, Oakland, CA: New Harbinger.
13. Brown, P.J., R.L. Stout, and J. Gannon-Rowley, *Substance use disorders-PTSD comorbidity: Patients' perceptions of symptom interplay and treatment issues*. Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, 1998. **14**: p. 1-4.
14. Najavits, L.M., et al., *Treatment utilization of women with PTSD and substance dependence*. American Journal on Addictions, in press.
15. Brady, K., et al., *Exposure therapy in the treatment of PTSD among cocaine-dependent individuals: Preliminary findings*. Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, 2001. **21**: p. 47-54.
16. Triffleman, E., *Gender differences in a controlled pilot study of psychosocial treatments in substance dependent patients with post-traumatic stress disorder: Design considerations and outcomes*. Alcoholism Treatment Quarterly, 2000. **18**(3): p. 113-126.

17. Najavits, L.M., et al., "*Seeking Safety*": Outcome of a new cognitive-behavioral psychotherapy for women with posttraumatic stress disorder and substance dependence. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 1998. **11**: p. 437-456.
18. Zlotnick, C., L.M. Najavits, and D.J. Rohsenow, *A cognitive-behavioral treatment for incarcerated women with substance use disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder: Findings from a pilot study*. under review.
19. Hien, D., et al., *Promising empirically supported treatments for women with comorbid PTSD and substance use disorders*. under review.
20. Najavits, L.M., et al., *Seeking Safety plus Exposure Therapy-Revised: An outcome study in men with PTSD and substance dependence*. under review.
21. Foa, E.B. and B.O. Rothbaum, *Treating the Trauma of Rape: Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy for PTSD*. 1998, New York: Guilford.
22. Shapiro, F., *Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing: Basic Principles, Protocols, and Procedures*. 1995, New York: Guilford.
23. Herman, J.L., *Trauma and Recovery*. 1992, New York: Basic Books.
24. Ochberg, F., *The counting methods for ameliorating traumatic memories*. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 1996. **9**(4): p. 873-880.
25. Resick, P.A. and S. M.K., *Cognitive Processing Therapy for Rape Victims: A Treatment Manual*. 1993, Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
26. Foa, E.B., T.M. Keane, and M.J. Friedman, *Guidelines for treatment of PTSD*. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 2000. **13**: p. 539-588.
27. Keane, T.M., *The role of exposure therapy in the psychological treatment of PTSD*. *Clinical Quarterly (National Center for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder)*, 1995. **5**: p. 1, 3-6.
28. Kaufman, E. and J. Reoux, *Guidelines for the successful psychotherapy of substance abusers*. *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*, 1988. **14**: p. 199-209.
29. Marks, I., et al., *Treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder by exposure and/or cognitive restructuring: A controlled study*. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1998. **55**: p. 317-325.
30. Najavits, L.M., *Seeking Safety: A new psychotherapy for posttraumatic stress disorder and substance use disorder*, in *Trauma and Substance Abuse: Causes, Consequences, and Treatment of Comorbid Disorders*, P. Ouimette and P. Brown, Editors. 2002, American Psychological Association Press: Washington, DC.
31. Najavits, L.M., R.D. Weiss, and B.S. Liese, *Group cognitive-behavioral therapy for women with PTSD and substance use disorder*. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 1996. **13**: p. 13-22.
32. Evans, K. and J.M. Sullivan, *Treating Addicted Survivors of Trauma*. 1995, New York: Guilford.
33. Abueg, F.R. and J.A. Fairbank, *Behavioral treatment of the PTSD-substance abuser: A multidimensional stage model*, in *Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: A Behavioral Approach to Assessment and Treatment*, P. Saigh, Editor. 1991, Pergamon Press: New York. p. 111-146.
34. Kessler, R.C., et al., *Posttraumatic stress disorder in the national comorbidity survey*. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1995. **52**: p. 1048-1060.

35. Holdcraft, L.C. and K.A. Comtois, *Description of and preliminary data from a women's dual diagnosis community mental health program*. Canadian Journal of Community Mental Health, in press.
36. Najavits, L.M., *Training clinicians in the Seeking Safety treatment for posttraumatic stress disorder and substance abuse*. Alcoholism Treatment Quarterly, 2000. **18**: p. 83-98.
37. Najavits, L.M., *Clinicians' views on treating posttraumatic stress disorder and substance use disorder*. Journal on Substance Abuse Treatment, 2002. **22**: p. 79-85.
38. Walser, R.D., *MIRECC project (unpublished manuscript)*. 2002, National Center for PTSD: Menlo Park, CA.
39. Najavits, L.M., *Early career award paper: Helping difficult patients*. Psychotherapy Research, 2001. **11**: p. 131-152.
40. FalLOT, R.D. and M. Harris, eds. *Using Trauma Theory to Design Service Systems. New Directions for Mental Health Services*. 2001, Jossey-Bass: San Francisco.
41. ASAM, *Patient placement criteria 2R*. 2001, American Society of Addiction Medicine: Washington, DC.
42. Minkoff, K., *Service planning guidelines: Co-occurring psychiatric and substance use disorders*. 2002, Behavioral Health Recovery Management.
43. Bjelajac, P., *Trauma informed paper: Consumer specialist*. 2002: Unpublished manuscript.
44. Carlson, E.B., *Trauma Assessments: A Clinician's Guide*. 1997, New York: Guilford.
45. Wilson, J.P. and T.M. Keane, eds. *Assessing Psychological Trauma and PTSD*. 1997, Guilford: New York.

ⁱ The term "substance abuse" is used throughout this paper as it is commonly used in treatment settings; however, all of the material applies to "substance use disorders" (the DSM-IV term).